## CITY OF LYNNWOOD TRANSPORTATION BENEFIT DISTRICT BOARD SPECIAL MEETING MINUTES July 21, 2014

Transportation Benefit District (TBD) Board, held in the Council Chambers of Lynnwood

10. CALL TO ORDER – The July 21, 2014 Special Meeting of the City of Lynnwood

City Hall, was called to order by Board President Simmonds at 7:16 p.m.

_	
2	
3	
4	
5	

1

6

20.

## **ROLL CALL**

**Board President Loren Simmonds** Board Vice President Sid Roberts Board Member Van AuBuchon Board Member Benjamin Goodwin Board Member M. Christopher Boyer Board Member Ruth Ross Board Member Ian Cotton

## OTHERS ATTENDING

Public Works Director Franz Project Manager Mach Asst. City Admin. Ceneza Community Devt. Director Krauss Community Devt. Deputy Director Loch Senior Planner Rivera Interim HR Director Roberts Acting City Atty. Reitan Council Assistant Morris

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15 16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27 28 29

34

Board President Simmonds reviewed the history and purpose of the Transportation Benefit District (TBD).

30. APPROVAL OF MINUTES – Special Meeting June 30, 2014

Motion made by Board Member Ross, seconded by Board Member Boyer, to approve the minutes of the March 10, 2014 Meeting.

It was noted that Mayor Smith should be listed as attending the June 30, 2014 meeting.

Motion passed unanimously to approve the minutes as corrected.

- 40. VOUCHER APPROVAL None
- 50. CONSIDERATION, DISCUSSION, AND POSSIBLE ACTION ON RESOLUTION #5 AND OTHER VARIOUS DOCUMENTS AND PROCEDURES ASSOCIATED WITH A BALLOT PROPOSITION TO IMPOSE A SALES AND USE TAX

Public Works Director Franz reminded the TBD of the process staff and the consultant had gone through to get community input which had shown support for a TBD ballot measure. The TBD then directed staff to prepare a draft ballot proposal. Staff has prepared that and has included information about dos and don'ts related to this.

Project Manager Mach commented that staff looked at other cities (Stanwood, Marysville, and Arlington) in Snohomish County that have successfully passed sales tax TBDs. Staff has reviewed and modified samples from those jurisdictions to create a draft Resolution,

including proposition language, and an explanatory statement in conjunction with the City Attorney. He explained that if the TBD wants to move forward with putting this proposal on the November 4 General Election, the Resolution which contains the proposition language, the explanatory statement, and the pro and con committee members information need to be submitted by August 5. He then reviewed these documents as contained in the TBD packet.

Project Manager Mach explained that staff was looking for action on the Resolution, comments on the explanatory statement, and suggestions for committee members. Additionally, if the Board agrees, staff is recommending advertising on the website and in the *The Herald* to solicit members for those committees.

Board President Simmonds thanked staff for their work on this. He solicited questions and comments about the material in the packet.

Board Member Goodwin asked staff how recently the other cities had their ballot initiatives. Project Manager Mach replied Marysville's was in the April 2014 Special Election, Arlington's was in the August 2013 Primary Election, and Stanwood's was in the February 2013 Special Election. Board Member Goodwin asked if other cities had proposed ballots that did not pass. Project Manager Mach commented that the City of Edmonds recently put a vehicle tab tax on the ballot which did not pass. He wasn't aware of any others, but thought it was a possibility.

Board Member Boyer referred to line 133 of the Resolution and lines 10 and 11 of the Explanatory Statement and suggested the City provide examples of some of the "other" projects. Director Franz replied that there is a 200-word limit on the Explanatory Statement. Project Manager Mach commented that the City could get that information out in other ways such as on the website, but there is some limitation as to the amount of information that the City can put out.

Board Member Cotton suggested adding a banner to the City of Lynnwood website regarding this, if allowed. Acting City Attorney Reitan stated that normal and regular contact from the City is allowed, but nothing solely for the ballot would be allowed. Project Manager Mach commented that anything staff is anticipating putting out regarding the ballot measure would be run by the City Attorney to ensure it is done correctly.

Board Member Goodwin asked if the City would be bound to completing a certain project if it was included in the explanation. Acting City Attorney Reitan suggested that they refer to the list of TIP projects that were adopted by the Board. Board Member Boyer asked about referencing the projects rated highly by the survey. Acting City Attorney Reitan indicated that would be allowed.

Board President Simmonds commented that the ballot itself is actually asking for an action that, if it passes, is in effect for ten years. On the other hand, from year to year when the TBD adopts the budget, they do spell out specifically where the money is going to be applied.

Motion made by Board Member Boyer, seconded by Board Member Cotton, to adopt Resolution #5.

Public Works Director Franz asked about staff's ability to make minor changes to the documents, such as adding a project, without having to come back to the TBD for specific approval. Acting City Attorney Reitan replied that if it is the intent of the maker of the motion that could be incorporated. Also, Section 4 talks about staff being able to administratively tinker with the documents as long as it doesn't change the substance of the document.

Board Member Boyer stated that was his intent.

Board Vice President Roberts moved to amend the motion to reduce the percentage to 0.1%. Board Member Goodwin seconded the motion.

Board Vice President Roberts commented that the highest sales tax in the state of Washington is 9.5%. He expressed concern that upon passage of the proposed ballot measure the City of Lynnwood would have the highest sales tax in the state by 0.2%. He is worried that that is too much and that it might jeopardize whether or not it passes.

Board Member AuBuchon commented that if the tax is cut in half the revenue would be cut from \$4 million to \$2 million which would leave the City \$2 million short to meet the programmed projects. Director Franz concurred, and stated that in his opinion the City would continue to ride the roadway rating curve downward without the full amount. Staff would feel bound to find ways to make up that difference. Board Member AuBuchon asked about a combination of sales tax and vehicle tabs. Director Franz replied that they could, but they have heard that tab fees are not as successful with voters.

Board Member Boyer commented that the proposed amendment would not solve the problem that they set out to solve which would be illogical and undesirable. Additionally, he doesn't think it makes much difference whether they are tied for first place or in first place all alone. He thinks reducing the proposed sales tax would make this whole process almost meaningless.

Board Member Cotton concurred with Board Member Boyer. He thinks the proposal is the fairest to the people of the City. A tab fee would put the burden of the many people who use the infrastructure on the shoulders of just the residents of the City. A sales tax more justly represents the usage of the infrastructure. He acknowledged that Board Member Roberts brought up a good point, but he thinks the original proposal is still the right way to go.

Board Member Goodwin said he agreed that they don't necessarily want to be at the top of the pack in this situation, but bringing in \$2 million revenue wouldn't do what needs to be done. He spoke in support of continuing on with the 0.2% sales tax proposal because it will allow the City to do what needs to be done to improve the streets and is a fairer solution.

Board Member Ross commented that this is the first time Lynnwood has ever gone for a ballot measure for transportation. She noted that the traffic is what people always complain

about with Lynnwood and it needs to be addressed. She thinks it would be detrimental to only ask for some help.

Board Vice President Roberts spoke in support of the sales tax approach as opposed to the vehicle tabs. His concern is that they run the risk of not getting this approved by asking for too much. He also pointed out that the City of Lynnwood did not have Transportation Impact Fees until 2010, but these are being gradually phased in. He reminded the Board that that is a major revenue source. He also reminded the Board that Marysville went from 8.6% to 8.8% sales tax, but Lynnwood is proposing going from 9.5% to 9.7%. When someone is buying a car, this makes a big difference.

Public Works Director Franz clarified that Transportation Impact Fees, by law, cannot be used for preservation or upkeep of pavement. They can only be used for capacity-related projects. Board Vice President Roberts acknowledged this, but noted that it could definitely have impacts on other projects that are coming out of the same pot of money. Staff agreed.

Board President Simmonds commented that there is always the possibility of any ballot measure not passing. If that should happen, they can go back to the drawing board and take some corrective action. He stressed that if this does pass it will have a positive impact on the General Fund.

 The amendment to the motion failed.

Board President Simmonds solicited comment on the main motion.

Board Member AuBuchon expressed concern about this making automobile tax higher in the City. He stated, however, that he would be supporting this primarily because they would be letting the citizens make the decision.

Upon a roll call vote, the motion passed unanimously (7-0).

## 60. PROHIBITION ON USE OF PUBLIC FACILITIES TO SUPPORT OR OPPOSE BALLOT MEASURE

Acting City Attorney Reitan discussed state law which prohibits the use of public facilities to oppose or assist in re-election or a ballot measure. She summarized that the same rules that applied to candidates also apply to ballot measures. Board Vice President Roberts asked at what point these rules become applicable. Acting City Attorney Reitan replied that since the TBD just adopted a resolution, it was her opinion that the rules were effective immediately.

Board Member Goodwin asked for clarification on page 60.3, lines 77-83, regarding public comment during public comment time. Acting City Attorney Reitan commented that it would be important to make an announcement prior to the meeting. If someone did use public comment period to express support or opposition of a ballot measure, it would be important to let them know it's illegal.

Public Works Director Franz referred to the pro and con committees and stated staff would like to put an ad in the paper and on the TBD website soliciting anyone who would be interested in either committee. Staff would then discuss this with the Board President and the City Attorney and submit those names by August 5 to the County. Board President Simmonds spoke in support of this, but stressed that these conversations should be in the presence of the City Attorney. Staff concurred.

70. ADJOURNMENT

Motion made by Board Member Boyer, seconded by Board Member Ross, to adjourn the meeting. Motion passed unanimously.

Loren Simmonds, TBD Board President

The meeting was adjourned at 8:05 p.m.

21 22

23 Lorenzo Hines, Jr.

Finance Director, acting as Board Treasurer